

UNITED STATE REPORT OF COMMERCE Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			MAA
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/591,349	06/09/00	BOUCINO		Т	9040.7
C 020792		MMC1/0315	コ	·	EXAMINER
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			NGUYEN.C		
PO BOX 3742	- 10			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH NC	2/02/			2831	4
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

03/15/01





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/591,349

Applicant(s)

Thomas Boucino

Examiner

Chau Nguyen

Group Art Unit 2831

|--|

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for f in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to a is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the				
Disposition of Claims	-				
	is/are pending in the application.				
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.				
Claim(s)					
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.				
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received. The ceeived in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certified copies not received:	d to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). Ithe priority documents have been Der) International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
*Certified copies not received: : Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	s)				
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	F FOLLOWING PAGES				

Page 2

Serial Number: 09/591349

Art Unit: 2831

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - a. Species 1 -- Figure 2
 - b. Species 2 -- Figure 3
 - c. Species 3 -- Figure 4
 - d. Species 4 -- Figure 5
 - e. Species 5 -- Figure 6
 - f. Species 6 -- Figure 7
 - g. Species 7 -- Figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial Number: 09/591349 Page 3

Art Unit: 2831

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-0693.

Changup Chau N. Nguyen

Patent Examiner